

From: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2016 11:10 AM
To: PortlandHarbor
Subject: FW: Clean Up Portland Harbor
Attachments: 345966748827164388.pdf

1460

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2016 10:06 AM
To: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Clean Up Portland Harbor



Clean Up Portland Harbor

Letter

Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed cleanup of the Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. *Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland Harbor Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan.

First Name (b) (6)

Last Name (b) (6)

E-mail (b) (6)

Message (500 Character Limit) The draft cleanup plan leaves far too much of the river contaminated for generations to come. We recommend that the EPA choose Alternative

G, with additional dredging in areas of high human use and high ecological value.

The cleanup plan must result in the removal of the Fish Consumption Advisory so that eating fish from the Lower Willamette is just as safe as eating fish from anywhere else in the Willamette River System and this must occur by an explicitly stated date within 10-20 years.

The draft cleanup plan relies too much on “monitored natural recovery,” a “do nothing” strategy that leaves pollution in the river in the hopes that it will either be covered over or flushed downstream.

Creating a toxic waste disposal dump in our river is not acceptable—toxic dredged sediments must be taken to an appropriate landfill.

Entities with liability for the pollution must be held accountable and pay for a comprehensive cleanup that will protect the health of our communities, our environment and our wildlife.